

## Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 BRUSSE 07517 281923Z

70

ACTION EUR-25

INFO OCT-01 NEA-10 ISO-00 CIAE-00 PM-07 H-03 INR-10 L-03

NSAE-00 NSC-10 PA-04 RSC-01 PRS-01 SPC-03 SS-20

USIA-15 ACDA-19 OMB-01 SAJ-01 EB-11 COME-00 IO-14

TRSE-00 DRC-01 /160 W

----- 112644

R 281810Z DEC 73

FM AMEMBASSY BRUSSELS

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 9496

SECDEF WASHDC

INFO AMEMBASSY ANKARA

AMEMBASSY ATHENS

AMEMBASSY BONN

AMEMBASSY COPENHAGEN

AMEMBASSY LISBON

AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY LUXEMBOURG

AMEMBASSY OSLO

AMEMBASSY OTTAWA

AMEMBASSY PARIS

AMEMBASSY REYKJAVIK

AMEMBASSY ROME

AMEMBASSY THE HAGUE

USMISSION NATO BRUSSELS

USNMR SHAPE

USCINCEUR

C O N F I D E N T I A L BRUSSELS 7517

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: MCAP, EIFN, NATO, BE

SUBJECT: BURDENSARING

REF: A. STATE 248790. B. BRUSSELS 6856.

C. BRUSSELS 7395.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 BRUSSE 07517 281923Z

1. IN A CALL ON NOTERDAEME, CHEF DE CABINET OF

FOREIGN MINISTER, I EXPLAINED TO HIM THAT SECRETARY SCHLESINGER'S REMARKS TO DPC ON THE IMPORTANCE OF FORCE IMPROVEMENTS SHOULD NOT BE INTERPRETED AS ALTERING IN ANY WAY THE NEED TO MEET THE IMMEDIATE BALANCE OF PAYMENTS REQUIREMENTS OF JACKSON-NUNN LEGISLATION. NOTERDAEME HAD NO DIFFICULTY UNDERSTANDING AND ACCEPTING THE EXPLANATION. HE REITERATED BELGIAN INTENTION TO DO MORE IN BURDENSARING BILATERALLY IF MULTILATERAL AGREEMENT COULD NOT BE OBTAINED. WHEN I STRESSED THE DESIRABILITY OF CONCENTRATING ON THE UNITED STATES MULTILATERAL PROPOSAL IN NATO, HE REMARKED THAT A NUMBER OF IMPORTANT COUNTRIES WOULD NOT BE PARTICIPATING IN THE MULTILATERAL APPROACH: E.G. GERMANY, WHICH NEGOTIATES OFFSETS BILATERALLY, THE UNITED KINGDOM AND FRANCE. WHILE BELGIUM DID NOT RULE OUT PARTICIPATION IN A MULTILATERAL SYSTEM, IF THAT COULD BE MOUNTED, HE BELIEVED ITS ANNOUNCED WILLINGNESS TO PROCEED BILATERALLY SHOULD PROVE HELPFUL IN STIMULATING ACTION BY OTHERS. BELGIUM SAW A DANGER OF A SITUATION ARISING IN NATO IN WHICH EACH COUNTRY HANGS BACK WAITING TO SEE WHETHER OTHERS ARE WILLING TO PROCEED.

2. WHEN IT WAS MENTIONED THAT FORCE IMPROVEMENTS COULD CONTRIBUTE TO BALANCE OF PAYMENTS REQUIREMENTS OF JACKSON-NUNN AMENDMENT IF THEY TOOK THE FORM OF PROCUREMENT FROM THE UNITED STATES, NOTERDAEME STATED THAT BELGIUM WAS THINKING IN TERMS BOTH OF INCREASED PROCUREMENT FROM THE UNITED STATES AND OF A GREATER CONTRIBUTION TO NATO INFRASTRUCTURE FUNDING. HE ASSERTED THAT POSSIBILITIES FOR INCREASED DEFENSE SPENDING EXISTED IN BOTH CATEGORIES UNDER EARLIER AUTHORIZATIONS BY THE BELGIAN PARLIAMENT.

3. NOTERDAEME AGAIN ADVERTED TO THE DESIRABILITY OF EXPERT DISCUSSIONS OF THE MANNER OF CALCULATING THE UNITED STATES MILITARY BALANCE OF PAYMENTS UNDER THE JACKSON-NUNN PROVISIONS. I TOLD HIM THAT BELGIAN VIEWS ON THIS POINT HAD BEEN COMMUNICATED TO WASHINGTON. ALTHOUGH THE JACKSON-NUNN AMENDMENT REQUIRED A US DETERMINATION OF THE US MILITARY BOP, I SAID THAT THIS DID NOT APPEAR TO ME TO RULE OUT EXPERT DISCUSSIONS, EITHER MULTILATERAL OR BILATERAL, OF THE METHODOLOGY TO BE USED IN REACHING SUCH A DETERMINATION. (BRUSSELS 6856)

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 BRUSSE 07517 281923Z

MINATION OF THE US MILITARY BOP, I SAID THAT THIS DID NOT APPEAR TO ME TO RULE OUT EXPERT DISCUSSIONS, EITHER MULTILATERAL OR BILATERAL, OF THE METHODOLOGY TO BE USED IN REACHING SUCH A DETERMINATION. (BRUSSELS 6856)

4. EMBASSY WILL ALSO BE FOLLOWING UP WITH OTHERS IN FOREIGN MINISTRY AND DEFENSE MINISTRY TO WARD OFF ANY CONFUSION RE JACKSON-NUNN REQUIREMENTS. BOOCHEVER

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 01 JAN 1994  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** MILITARY BUDGET, DEBT REPAYMENTS, NEGOTIATIONS  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 28 DEC 1973  
**Decaption Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** hilburpw  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1973BRUSSE07517  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** GS  
**Errors:** N/A  
**Film Number:** n/a  
**From:** BRUSSELS  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1973/newtext/t1973124/aaaaacic.tel  
**Line Count:** 115  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE  
**Office:** ACTION EUR  
**Original Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 3  
**Previous Channel Indicators:**  
**Previous Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** A. STATE 248790. B. BRUSSELS 6856.  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** hilburpw  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 31 AUG 2001  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <31-Aug-2001 by rowellE0>; APPROVED <16-Oct-2001 by hilburpw>  
**Review Markings:**

Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
30 JUN 2005

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** BURDENSARING  
**TAGS:** MCAP, EFIN, BE, NATO  
**To:** STATE  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005